

## Solution 2

1. Decision variables: let  $K, L$  denote the amount of capital and labor the firm invests.  
 Constraints: The production should be at least 10. Both  $K, L$  are non-negative  
 Objective: minimize the cost  $30K + 30L$

The optimization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{K,L} \quad & 30K + 30L \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & K^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} \geq 10 \\ & K \geq 0, L \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

2. The gradient of  $f(x)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= x_1^2 - 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} &= x_2^2 - 2x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nabla f = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 - 1 &= 0 \\ x_2^2 - 2x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have four candidate optimal solutions  $x^{(1)} = (1,0)^T$ ,  $x^{(2)} = (1,2)^T$ ,  $x^{(3)} = (-1,0)^T$ ,  $x^{(4)} = (-1,2)^T$ .

The Hessian matrix is

$$H(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2x_2 - 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the function  $f(x)$  is not a convex function, what we get will be “local” instead of “global” optimum. The value of Hessian matrix at different candidate points are

Candidate point	$H(x)$	Nature of $H$
$x^{(1)} = (1,0)^T$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	Indefinite
$x^{(2)} = (1,2)^T$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	Positive definite
$x^{(3)} = (-1,0)^T$	$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	Negative definite
$x^{(4)} = (-1,2)^T$	$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	Indefinite

Therefore,  $x^{(1)}$  and  $x^{(4)}$  are neither maximum nor minimum;  $x^{(2)}$  is strict local minimum;  $x^{(3)}$  is strict local maximum.

3. Denote the objective function as  $f(x) = (x_1 - 1)^3 + 2x_2^2$ .

The gradient and Hessian matrix of  $f(x)$  are

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 3(x_1 - 1)^2 \\ 4x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 6(x_1 - 1) & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Iteration 1:**

$$\nabla f(x^{(0)}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H(x^{(0)}) = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)} &= x^{(0)} - [H(x^{(0)})]^{-1} \nabla f(x^{(0)}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Iteration 2:**

$$\nabla f(x^{(1)}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H(x^{(1)}) = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2)} &= x^{(1)} - [H(x^{(1)})]^{-1} \nabla f(x^{(1)}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

4. (1) Method 1: Let  $t = 5x \in [15, 50]$ , then  $z = ty$  can be linearized as

$$\begin{aligned} 15y &\leq z \leq 50y \\ 15(1 - y) &\leq t - z = 5x - z \leq 50(1 - y) \end{aligned}$$

Method 2: Let  $z' = xy$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3y &\leq z' \leq 10y \\ 3(1 - y) &\leq x - z' \leq 10(1 - y) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as  $z' = z/5$ , then substitute this into the above functions

$$\begin{aligned} 3y &\leq z/5 \leq 10y \\ 3(1 - y) &\leq x - z/5 \leq 10(1 - y) \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Let  $L = \max\{10, 8, 12\} = 12$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq x_1 \leq 10, 2 \leq x_2 \leq 8, 3 \leq x_3 \leq 12 \\ y &\geq x_1, y \geq x_2, y \geq x_3 \\ x_1 + (12 - 1)(1 - z_1) &\geq y \\ x_2 + (12 - 2)(1 - z_2) &\geq y \\ x_3 + (12 - 3)(1 - z_3) &\geq y \\ z_1, z_2, z_3 &\in \{0, 1\}, z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = 1 \end{aligned}$$